

**Original Research Article** 

# SEMINARS AS A SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING TOOL IN MEDICAL EDUCATION: AN EXPLANATORY MIXED-METHOD STUDY

S. Kirubakaran<sup>1</sup>, Malai Ammal M<sup>2</sup>, TSR Anjana<sup>3</sup>, S. Arun Murugan<sup>4</sup>, Manoj Kumar K<sup>5</sup>, S Nitheesh Kumar<sup>5</sup>, Jenica Muthuvel Jayachandran<sup>5</sup>, Idhaya R<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India <sup>4</sup>Professor and Head, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>5</sup>MBBS Intern, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

: 07/02/2025 Received Received in revised form : 05/04/2025 : 21/04/2025 Accepted **Corresponding Author:** Dr. S. Kirubakaran, Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu India Email: kirubasam01@gmail.com DOI: 10.70034/ijmedph.2025.2.124 Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared Int J Med Pub Health 2025; 15 (2); 691-695

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Medical education, there is increasing emphasis on active and self-directed learning (SDL). Seminars, wherein students prepare and present academic content, have emerged as a potential tool to foster engagement, motivation, and content mastery. This study aimed to assess the impact of seminar-based learning as a method of SDL among medical students and to explore their perceptions and experiences regarding seminar preparation and presentation.

**Materials and Methods:** Employing an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design, the study included 104 final-year MBBS students at Government Medical College, Omandurar, Chennai. Quantitative data were collected via structured questionnaires post 25 seminars, while qualitative insights were gathered through three focus group discussions (FGDs) with purposefully sampled participants. Descriptive statistics and thematic analysis were used to analyze quantitative and qualitative data, respectively.

**Results:** Quantitative findings indicated that 59.6% of students agreed that seminars ignited interest in the topic, and 86.5% felt it improved the presenter's mastery of the subject. Seminars were also seen to help overcome stage fright (86.6%) and promote active learning (62.5%), although 84.6% agreed that preparation was time-consuming. Qualitative analysis revealed a central theme: "Balancing Engagement and Preparation Challenges in Seminars as a Tool for Self-Directed Learning". Students acknowledged benefits such as enhanced confidence, interactive learning, and better comprehension but also highlighted challenges like condensing vast content, audience engagement, and language adaptation.

**Conclusion:** Seminars are a valuable pedagogical tool that support self-directed learning by enhancing interest, confidence, and content retention. However, the effectiveness of seminars depends on the balance between content preparation and delivery, and the use of engaging methods. Further large-scale, multi-centric studies are recommended to validate these findings.

**Keywords:** Self-Directed Learning, Medical Education, Seminars, Student Perception, Mixed Methods, Active Learning, Communication Skills.

# INTRODUCTION

Students all around the globe find themselves in a tricky position in the 21st century where they are

expected to learn vast number of subjects in short time. Lessons thought in classroom are crucial as most commonly it laid the foundation for new concepts. Traditional method involves lectures by teachers and lecturers where the interaction of the students and active participation of students is limited. To engage active participation of students, newer methods of teaching were employed, one such methods was seminars conducted by students. It has become a trend where students are expected to conduct lectures for their peers. Also, with the advent of technology, students are more at ease creating presentations which can be easily taught to their peers, and if done properly are easily taken up by the audience too. Seminars as a method of teaching is getting more popular.

According to a study by Zakharia et al (2023),<sup>[1]</sup> students realise that conducting oral presentations such as seminars to their peer groups definitely play a crucial role in their career. They help them substantially improve communication, language proficiency, and help their studies overall. It has also been observed by a study by Singla et al,<sup>[2]</sup> (2023) that such a method of learning sparks interest and leads to better understanding of the given topic. Additionally, it was noted that self confidence and motivation is augmented.

### **Objectives**

- 1. To assess the impact of seminar-based learning among Students as Self-Directed Learning method
- 2. To explore the perceptions and experiences of students about the Seminar Preparation and Presentation

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study Design:** This study employs an Explanatory Sequential Mixed-Methods Design [Quan - QUAL]. The quantitative component to measure the impact of seminars on self-directed learning (SDL) followed by qualitative component explores students' experiences and perceptions.

**Study Setting & Population:** The study was conducted by Department of Community Medicine in collaboration with Medical Education Unit at Government Medical College, Omandurar Medical College, Chennai-02. This study involved all 104 students from the Final year part-1.

**Study Duration:** This study was conducted for a period of 6 months.

### **Data Collection tool & Method:**

- 1. Quantitative Data Collection: After obtaining digital consent from the participants, data was collected using a pre-designed and pre-tested questionnaire administered through Google Forms. The questionnaire was structured to evaluate the impact of seminar at the end of approximately 25 seminars. The questionnaire was disseminated electronically to all MBBS students who participated in the seminar series. Responses were collected in real-time.
- 2. Qualitative Data Collection: Using Open ended Semi Structured Interview Guide, 3 Focus Group Discussion was conducted and 8 Participants were in each group. Using purposeful sampling each containing equal numbers of boys and girls.

These students had also been allotted to the groups based on certain criteria to study the differences between these groups, e.g: day-scholar vs. hosteller, active participants in class vs. passive listeners, students who performed well vs. students with low attendance, etc. Each Focus Group Discussion lasted for 45Mins. After the Third Focus Group Discussion there were no new information.

### Data Analysis:

- 1. Quantitative Data Analysis: Descriptive statistical analysis was performed to summarize the data using frequencies and percentages for categorical variables using SPSS 18 software.
- 2. Qualitative Data Analysis: Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the FGD interview transcripts. Data was coded using an inductive approach to identify the categories and they related to students' perceptions of seminar as tool in SDL. Coding was done using software Atlas.

Ethical Considerations: Informed consent was obtained from all the participants. Participation was voluntary, and students had the option to withdraw at any time. Confidentiality of participants' data will be maintained, and all data was anonymized during analysis and reporting.

# RESULTS

|  | Strongly agree | Agree         | Neutral       | Disagree  | Strongly disagree |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Seminars ignite interest in the topic covered.   | 10 (9.6%)      | 52 (50%)      | 34<br>(32.7%) | 5 (4.8%)  | 3 (2.9%)          |
| Seminars require a good<br>amount of attention<br>span which may pose a<br>difficulty. | 25 (24%)       | 55<br>(52.9%) | 21<br>(20.2%) | 3 (2.9%)  | nil               |
| Preparation for seminars is time consuming.  | 37 (35.6%)     | 51 (49%)      | 13<br>(12.5%) | 3 (2.9%)  | nil               |
| Seminars help to overcome stage fright.  | 50 (48.1%)     | 40<br>(38.5%) | 10 (9.6%)     | 3 (2.9%)  | 1 (1%)            |
| Seminars help in easy recollection of topics.  | 23 (22.1%)     | 45<br>(43.3%) | 24<br>(23.1%) | 10 (9.6%) | 2 (1.9%)          |

#### 1. Quantitative Results

| Seminars help one<br>understand the topic and<br>motivates them to study<br>on their own.                                       | 21 (20.2%) | 46<br>(44.2%) | 26 (25%)      | 8 (7.7%)   | 3 (2.9%) |
|---|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------|
| Seminars are better than<br>normal classroom<br>teaching.   | 7 (6.7%)   | 26 (25%)      | 38<br>(36.5%) | 24 (23.1%) | 9 (8.7%) |
| Presenting a seminar on<br>a topic improves the<br>presenter's hold over<br>that specific topic<br>compared to other<br>topics. | 38 (36.5%) | 52 (50%)      | 14<br>(13.5%) | nil        | nil      |
| Seminars promote<br>active learning over rote<br>memorisation.  | 12 (11.5%) | 53 (51%)      | 26 (25%)      | 10 (9.6%)  | 3 (2.9%) |
| Rewarding students<br>during/at the end of<br>seminars increases<br>student participation.                                      | 40 (38.5%) | 44<br>(42.3%) | 18<br>(17.3%) | nil        | 2 (1.9%) |

A significant number of students (59.6%) either strongly agreed (9.6%) or agreed (50%) that seminars helped ignite their interest in the topic. However, 32.7% remained neutral, and a small percentage (7.7%) disagreed, indicating some variability in engagement. A majority of students (76.9%) felt that seminars required a considerable attention span, which could pose a difficulty, with 24% strongly agreeing and 52.9% agreeing. A smaller group (20.2%) was neutral, while only 2.9% disagreed, showing that maintaining focus was perceived as a challenge by most.

Most students (84.6%) agreed that preparing for seminars was time-consuming, with 35.6% strongly agreeing and 49% agreeing. Only 12.5% were neutral, and a minimal number (2.9%) disagreed, suggesting a general consensus on the effort required for preparation. A large proportion of students (86.6%) felt that seminars helped them overcome stage fright, with 48.1% strongly agreeing and 38.5% agreeing. A small group (9.6%) remained neutral, and very few (3.9%) disagreed, highlighting the perceived benefit of seminars in building presentation confidence.

Around 65.4% of students agreed that seminars aided in easier recollection of topics, while 23.1% were neutral. However, 11.5% of the students disagreed, indicating that some students did not find seminars particularly helpful in memory retention. A majority (64.4%) felt that seminars motivated them to study the topic further on their own, with 20.2% strongly agreeing and 44.2% agreeing. Around 25% were neutral, while 10.6% disagreed, showing mixed responses regarding the seminar's impact on promoting self-directed study. A smaller percentage of students (31.7%) agreed that seminars were better than traditional classroom teaching, with 6.7% strongly agreeing and 25% agreeing. However, a large proportion (36.5%) was neutral, and 31.8% disagreed, reflecting a divided opinion on the effectiveness of seminars compared to regular classes.


A strong majority (86.5%) agreed that presenting a seminar helped the presenter develop a better understanding of the specific topic, with 36.5% strongly agreeing and 50% agreeing. No students disagreed, indicating a clear perceived benefit for presenters. Over half of the students (62.5%) agreed that seminars promoted active learning, with 11.5% strongly agreeing and 51% agreeing. However, 25% were neutral, and 12.5% disagreed, indicating some variance in how students perceived the learning style promoted by seminars. A large proportion of students (80.8%) felt that rewarding students during or after seminars increased participation, with 38.5% strongly agreeing and 42.3% agreeing. Only a small number (17.3%) remained neutral, and very few (1.9%) disagreed, suggesting that incentives had a positive impact on engagement.

| Table 2: Thematic Analysis Code B | Book for Perception and Experience | e of Seminar Preparation and Presentation. |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Codes                             | Categories                         | Theme                                      |
| Interactive Sessions              | Benefits of Seminars               |  |
| Concise Presentations             |                                    |  |
| Engaging Tools                    |                                    |  |
| Self-confidence Building          |                                    |  |
| Real-life Scenarios               |                                    | Balancing Engagement and Preparation       |
| Language Adaptability             |                                    | Challenges in Seminars as a Tool for       |
| Condensing Vast Content           | Challenges of Seminars             | SelfDirected Learning                      |
| Relevancy of Information          |                                    |  |
| Time-consuming Process            |                                    |  |
| Audience Engagement Issues        |                                    |  |
| Stage Fear                        |                                    |  |

### 2. Qualitative Results:

| Learning Preference Variability         |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Preparation Time                        | Other Factors Influencing Seminars |
| PPT Posting Before Class                |                                    |
| Combination of Local Language (Tamil) & |                                    |
| English                                 |                                    |

As a result of the content analysis, three key categories emerged Benefits of Seminars, Challenges of Seminars and Other Factors Influencing Seminars. Based on the codes and categories the theme generated was "Balancing Engagement and Preparation Challenges in Seminars as a Tool for SelfDirected Learning".



### Category 1: Benefits of Seminars

**Interactive Sessions:** Engaging audience through questions, discussions, and interactive elements "Interactive sessions and concise ppt will easily keep the audience's attention on the speaker."

**Concise Presentations:** Presentations that is clear, short, and easy to follow. "The key to hold the audience was by keeping the seminar short and crisp." Gaining deeper understanding of the topic through preparation. "The individual who takes the seminar will get benefitted more compared to the audience."

**Engaging Tools:** Use of multimedia like memes, pictures, videos to capture interest. "Majority of the participants used memes, pictures related to cinema and sports, videos and audios to attract the audience." **Self-confidence Building:** Personal development in terms of confidence while speaking and presenting. "Presenters felt that preparing for and delivering the seminar helped them gain confidence."

**Real-life Scenarios:** Application of real-life examples to illustrate concepts "Bringing real life scenarios and adding fun elements would grasp their attention."

Language Adaptability: Use of native language (Tamil) or a combination of Tamil and English to improve comprehension. "Most of the participants felt that using Tamil improves attention of students... stressing the important points in English."

Category 2: Challenges of Seminars

**Condensing Vast Content:** Difficulty in summarizing large amounts of information into a

concise presentation. "Standard books were very vast and creating a concise 15-20 slide presentation posed a challenge."

**Relevancy of Information:** Struggle in determining which points are most important for the presentation. "It was hard to segregate which points are of importance to be stated in the presentation."

**Time-consuming Process:** The preparation for the seminar took a significant amount of time. "Preparing for a seminar is a very laboured and time-consuming process."

Audience Engagement Issues: Difficulty in keeping the audience's attention throughout the presentation. "A few of them felt a bit difficult to keep the audience engaged...the audience might start feeling monotonous when the number of slides were more." Presenters received a lower level of audience interaction than expected. "Half of them told that the audience response they received was lower than expected."

Learning Preference Variability: Different students benefit from different teaching methods, and seminars may not suit all. "Some said that seminar is the best method of teaching, while some disagreed...they argued that small group discussion might be more effective."

Category 3: Other Factors Influencing Seminars

**Preparation Time:** Time taken to prepare for the seminar. "Nearly half of the participants stated that they would start to prepare for the seminar one week before the day of presentation."

**PPT Posting Before Class:** Whether sharing slides in advance helps with audience engagement and learning. "Two of the participants think that posting Ppt before class doesn't improve audience response...other participants stated that it is a good initiative as students get an idea about the topic."

**Combination of Local Language (Tamil) & English:** Using a mix of native and common language to improve comprehension. "One participant stated that explaining in Tamil then stressing the important points in English was a good approach."

### DISCUSSION

Lecture based teaching is our traditional method of teaching not only in the medical field but in most fields of education. Various new methods of teaching for eg. Gamification, micro learning, etc have come into picture in the last few years. Though seminar is not a new method it is yet to be used for teaching universally. This study is based on the responses from the students on seminars as a method to teach and learn. A study by Kusum Singla et al,<sup>[2]</sup> produced similar results (76.5% agreed of which 16.7% strongly agreed). 77% of students agreed that seminars are time consuming, in a study by Patel et al,<sup>[3]</sup> 69.1% agreed that seminars are time consuming. 86.5% of learners agreed that seminars improve the presenter's hold over the topic compared to other topics. No one disagreed with this point. 80.8% of students agreed that rewarding students during or at the end of the seminar improves student participation. Only 6.7% of students strongly agreed that seminars are better than normal classroom teaching. In Kusum Singla et al study only 7.8% strongly agreed to the same. Though lectures are widely used we can't overlook the merits of seminars. In lectures students don't actively participate but in seminars active learning is

About 59.6% of students agreed that seminars ignite

an interest in the topic of which 9.6% strongly agreed.

the merits of seminars. In lectures students don't actively participate but in seminars active learning is promoted. Seminars foster motivation for self study and enhance the learner's confidence. Seminar as a teaching method is an effective method for improving knowledge scores, skill scores, active learning ability, student collaboration, classroom atmosphere, and interaction between teachers and students.<sup>[4]</sup>

This theme "Balancing Engagement and Preparation Challenges in Seminars as a Tool for Self-Directed Learning" highlights the tension between the potential benefits of seminars in fostering engagement, confidence, and knowledge mastery, and the difficulties faced by students in preparing and delivering these presentations effectively. The analysis reveals that while seminars can be highly engaging when done interactively and using relatable content, they also demand significant effort in terms of condensing vast information, overcoming stage fear, and maintaining audience attention. Furthermore, the use of language (Tamil/English) and multimedia tools play a crucial role in enhancing comprehension and interest, though the overall success of a seminar depends heavily on the presenter's ability to manage these factors.

**Study Limitations:** The study is limited to a single institution, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Quantitative data statistical Analysis was only descriptive.

### **CONCLUSION**

Our learners agreed that seminars ignite an interest in the topic and improves the presenter's hold over the topic. Majority of students also agreed that rewarding students during or at the end of seminar improved student participation. However opinions were divided on whether seminars are superior to traditional classroom teaching. Further research with a larger sample size would be valuable to gain a more comprehensive understanding of these dynamics. Seminars foster self-confidence, improve content mastery, and engage students when interactive elements are used effectively.

### REFERENCES

- Zakaria, SF, Rusli R, Mat NHC, & Tazijan, F. (2023). An Insight to Attitudes and Challenges in Oral Presentations Among University Students. In M. Rahim, A. A. Ab Aziz, I. Saja @ Mearaj, N. A. Kamarudin, O. L. Chong, N. Zaini, A. Bidin, N. Mohamad Ayob, Z. Mohd Sulaiman, Y. S. Chan, & N. H. M. Saad (Eds.), Embracing Change: Emancipating the Landscape of Research in Linguistic, Language and Literature, vol 7. European Proceedings of Educational Sciences (pp. 543-555). European Publisher. https://doi.org/10.15405/epes.23097.49
- Kusum Singla, Sameena Khan, Munish Kumar. (2023). Assessment of seminar based teaching in medical education: students' point of view. Journal of the Indian Medical Association, 121 (10), 42-43.
- Jitendra RP, Dharmik SP, Rajesh D, Jasmin P, Ravi T, Nikita D-et al.Evaluation of student seminar in medical education: students' perspective. Int J Cur Res Rev 2015; 7(7): 6-9.
- Zeng HL, Chen DX, Li Q, Wang XY. Effects of seminar teaching method versus lecturebased learning in medical education: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Med Teach. 2020 Dec;42(12):1343-1349. doi: 10.1080/0142159X.2020.1805100. Epub 2020 Aug 14. PMID: 32795244.